



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,975	10/24/2003	Michael A. Uleski	LEAR 04140 PUS	1710
34007	7590	09/22/2005	EXAMINER	
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C. / LEAR CORPORATION 1000 TOWN CENTER TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075-1238			ALLEN, ANDRE J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2855	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/692,975	Applicant(s) ULESKI, MICHAEL A. (Am)	
	Examiner Andre J. Allen	Art Unit 2855	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 12-20 and 24 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 21 and 22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 2-11 and 23 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Acknowledgment is made of the amndts.-rem. Filed 6-30-05

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gablemann et al (US 5844131).

Regarding claims 1,21 and 22 Gablemann et al teaches a tire monitor (abstract)(col. 6 line 23) for sensing a tire parameter (abstract), the tire monitor including a housing 44 having an opening 56; a tire valve stem 20 that is configured to extend through the opening in the wheel 10 and the opening in the housing 44, the valve stem 20 having an aperture 28; and a

clip 40 that is configured to be inserted into the aperture and engage the housing to attach together the tire monitor and the valve stem (col. 4 lines 45-50) and the valve stem has a longitudinal axis, the clip is configured to be inserted (slid) into the aperture of the valve stem in a direction generally transverse to the axis (fig. 1)

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Regarding claims 2,4,5-8,10 and 11 the cited prior art does not disclose nor suggest an aperture including first and second portions, the first portion being configured to receive the clip, and the second portion being configured to allow air to pass around the clip to thereby allow air to travel through the valve stem, the clip having a top portion and a cantilevered portion extending from the top portion, a cylindrical surface that is engageable with the cylindrical surface of the housing when the clip is inserted into the aperture of the cantilevered portion being configured to extend into the aperture of the valve stem, the

tire monitor including a tab that extends into the opening of the clip when the clip is inserted into the aperture of the valve stem, the housing of the tire monitor having a cylindrical surface, valve stem the housing of the tire monitor includes a pocket for receiving the clip and multiple ribs that are configured to allow the clip to slide into the pocket.

5. Claims 12-20 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The claims are deemed to be a distinct and unobvious improvement over Gablemann et al (5844131) the claims comprising a clip having a main body and a cantilevered portion extending from the main body, the cantilevered portion being configured to be inserted into the aperture of the valve assembly such that the cantilevered portion extends generally transverse to the axis of the valve assembly, the main body having a cylindrical surface that is engageable with the cylindrical surface of the housing of the tire monitor when the cantilevered portion is inserted into the aperture of the valve assembly.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such

submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

6. Newly added claim 24 is allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
The claims are deemed to be a distinct and unobvious improvement over Sanchez et al (US 204/0046649) and Gablemann et al (5844131) the claims comprise a clip configured to attach together the tire monitor and the valve stem without requiring rotation of the clip relative to the valve stem.

7. Claim 23 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Regarding claim 23 the cited prior art does not disclose nor suggest the clip is configured to attach together the tire monitor and the valve stem without requiring rotation of the clip relative to the valve stem.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 6-30-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the applicants art that the cited prior art teaches a valve the extends into, but not through the housing is not persuasive that is since the valve stem as taught by Gableman extends into the housing, it would appear that the structure and/or function of Gableman would appear to meet the claim in its current form. Therefore the rejection stands.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the


mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre J. Allen whose telephone number is 571-272-2174. The examiner can normally be reached on mon-fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

André Allen
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2855


EDWARD LEFKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800